OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF NEVADA

3

4

1

2

5

In the Matter of:

BOARD OF TRUSTEES.

FERNLEY SWIMMING POOL DISTRICT

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

AG FILE NO.: 13897-177

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

BACKGROUND

Dena Lopez filed a complaint (Complaint) with the Office of the Attorney General (OAG) alleging a violation of the Nevada Open Meeting Law (OML) by the Fernley Swimming Pool District Board of Trustees (Board) at a meeting held on December 28, 2015.1 The Complaint alleges that the Board held the meeting without providing the public notice required under NRS 241.020.

The OAG has statutory enforcement powers under the OML and the authority to investigate and prosecute violations of the OML. NRS 241.039; NRS 2141.040. In response to the Complaint, the OAG reviewed written minutes and an audio recording from the December 28th meeting, together with agendas, written minutes, and audio recordings from two subsequent meetings held on January 5 and January 12, 2016, and a response from Carrie Parker, Esq., legal counsel for the Board.

LEGAL STANDARD

NRS 241.020(2) provides that except in an emergency, notice to the public of all meetings must be provided at least three working days before the meeting. The public notice must include an agenda consisting of a "clear and complete statement of the topics scheduled

¹ Ms. Lopez filed a second complaint alleging violations of the Nevada Ethics in Government Law, NRS Chapter 281A, by Board Trustee Paul Murphy at a meeting held on December 17, 2015. The Commission on Ethics enforces these provisions and the OAG does not have jurisdiction over ethics violations; therefore those allegations are not addressed in this opinion.

to be considered during the meeting." NRS 241.020(2)(d)(1); see also Sandoval v. Board of Regents, 119 Nev. 148, 67 P.3d 902 (2003).

NRS 241.020(9) defines an emergency as: "an unforeseen circumstance which requires immediate action and includes, but is not limited to: (a) Disasters caused by fire, flood, earthquake or other natural causes; or (b) Any impairment of the health and safety of the public." An emergency meeting may only be called where the need to act upon a matter is truly unforeseen and circumstances dictate that immediate action is required. The spirit and policy behind the OML favors open meetings and any exceptions thereto should be strictly construed. NRS 241.010(1); see also McKay v. Board of Supervisors, 102 Nev. 644, 730 P.2d 438 (1986).

Any action taken in violation of the OML is void. NRS 241.036. A public body may take action to correct an alleged OML violation. NRS 241.0365. Corrective action requires that the public body engage in an *independent deliberative action* in full compliance with the OML. See, e.g., Page v. MiraCosta Community College Dist., 102 Cal. Rptr. 3rd 902, 930 (Cal. Ct. App. 2009); Colorado Off-Highway Vehicle Coalition v. Colorado Bd. of Parks and Outdoor Recreation, 292 P.3d 1132, 1137–8 (Colo. Ct. App. 2012); Zorc v. City of Vero Beach, 722 So. 2d 891, 902 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1998); Pearson v. Selectmen of Longmeadow, 726 N.E.2d 980, 985 (Mass. App. Ct. 2000); Gronberg v. Teton County Housing Authority, 247 P.3d 35, 42 (Wyo. 2011). A public body must clearly denote that corrective action may be taken at a meeting by placing the term "for possible corrective action" next to the appropriate agenda item. NRS 241.020(2)(d)(2).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board was created by resolution of the Lyon County Board of Commissioners pursuant to NRS Chapter 318.
- 2. The Board is a "public body" as defined in NRS 241.015(4), and subject to the OML.
- 3. The Board met on December 28, 2015, without providing public notice and without an agenda. Trustees Jann Vann Horne, Paul Murphy, Marty Hanna, Bill Riesen, and

- 4. During the December 28, 2015, meeting the Board deliberated on vacancies in the positions of Facility Director and Office Assistant, the resulting impact upon the Fernley Swimming Pool District's operations, and a course of action for hiring replacements.
- 5. During the December 28, 2015, meeting Trustee Clegg moved "to seek an outside person to handle the administrative portion of the Facility Director's position," Trustee Riesen seconded the motion, and after further discussion the motion carried unanimously.
- 6. Immediately after taking this action, the Board deliberated upon whether the December 28, 2015, meeting was taking place in violation of the OML, and agreed to hold another meeting on January 5, 2016.
- 7. The Board met on January 5, 2016. Trustees Jann Vann Horne, Paul Murphy, Marty Hanna, Bill Riesen, and Bill Clegg were all present.
- 8. The agenda for the January 5, 2016, meeting did not clearly denote that corrective action might be taken at the meeting by placing the term "for possible corrective action" next to a clear and complete agenda item in conformance with NRS 241.020(2)(d)(2).
- 9. During consideration of agenda item 2, entitled "Public Input", at the January 5, 2016, meeting, Board Chairman Vann Horne publicly acknowledged that the basis for the December 28, 2015, meeting did not constitute an "emergency" under NRS 241.020.
- 10. During consideration of agenda item 2, entitled "Public Input", at the January 5, 2016, meeting, Trustee Clegg moved to ratify the prior vote that was taken at the December 28, 2015, meeting, Trustee Murphy seconded the motion, and the motion carried unanimously without any discussion.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board violated the OML when it met, deliberated, and took action on December 28, 2015, without proper notice to the public and an agenda as required under NRS 241.020. The basis for the meeting did not constitute an "emergency" under NRS 241.020; there was no immediate threat or imminent danger to the public that required emergency action.

- 3. Each Trustee attended the December 28, 2015, meeting, with knowledge of the fact that the meeting was in violation of the OML.
- 4. The Board failed to effectively take corrective action at the January 5, 2016, meeting. The agenda did not clearly denote that corrective action might be taken at the meeting, and the Board did not engage in an independent deliberative action involving substantial reconsideration of the void action from December 28, 2015.
- 5. The Board's action at the January 5, 2016, meeting to ratify the prior vote that was taken at the December 28, 2015, meeting constituted a second violation of the OML. The action to ratify was taken without an agenda item clearly denoting the action to be taken, and therefore without notice to the public, as required under NRS 241.020.
- 6. The action taken on January 5, 2016, to ratify the vote that was taken at the December 28, 2015, meeting is void under NRS 241.036.

13 14 *III* 15 /// 16 111 17 ||| 18 *III* 19 III20 III21 III22 III23 /// 24 III25 III26 | /// 27 III

28

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

<u>SUMMARY</u>

Because the OAG finds that the Board has taken action in violation of the OML, the Board must place on its next meeting agenda these Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and include them in the supporting material for that meeting. The agenda item must acknowledge these Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law to be the result of the OAG investigation in the matter of Attorney General File No. 13897-177, and that it has been placed there as a requirement of NRS 241.0395.

Furthermore, the OAG strongly recommends that the Board members and staff receive training in the OML; the OAG is available to provide OML training upon request.

DATED this 474 day of May, 2016.

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

By:

BRETT KANDT
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Nevada State Bar No. 5384
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
Telephone: (775) 684-1201

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and on the day of May, 2016, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, by placing a copy of said document in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

Carrie L. Parker, Esq. Snell & Wilmer, LLP 50 W Liberty Street, Suite #510 Reno, Nevada 89501

Dena J. Lopez 1975 Alpine Drive Fernley, Nevada 89408

Karen L. Rutledge
An Employee of the

Office of the Attorney General

State of Nevada

1 2

5