	MOT		
1	Adam Paul Laxalt (Nev. Bar No. 12426)		
2	Attorney General Lawrence VanDyke (Nev. Bar No. 13643C)		
3	Solicitor General   Joseph Tartakovsky (Nev. Bar No. 13796C)		
4	Deputy Solicitor General Ketan Bhirud (Nev. Bar No. 10515)		
5	Senior Deputy Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
	100 North Carson Street		
6	Carson City, NV 89701-4717 (775) 684-1100		
7	LVanDyke@ag.nv.gov JTartakovsky@ag.nv.gov		
8	KBhirud@ag.nv.gov		
9	Paul D. Clement (D.C. Bar No. 433215)*		
10	BANCROFT PLLC 500 New Jersey Avenue, NW		
11	Seventh Floor		
12	Washington, DC 20001 (202) 234-0090		
	pclement@bancroftpllc.com		
13	* Motion for admission <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming		
14	Attorneys for Defendants State of Nevada, et al.		
15	DISTRICT COURT		
16	CLARK COUN	TTY, NEVADA	
17	RUBY DUNCAN, an individual; RABBI MEL HECHT, an individual; HOWARD WATTS III,	CASE NO. A-15-723703-C	
18	an individual; LEORA OLIVAS, an individual; ADAM BERGER, an individual,	DEPT. NO. XX	
19	Plaintiffs,	MOTION FOR EXPEDITED ARGUMENT AND DECISION	
20	-VS-		
21	STATE OF NEVADA ex rel, the Office of the State Treasurer of Nevada and the Nevada		
22	Department of Education; DAN SCHWARTZ,		
23	Nevada State Treasurer, in his official capacity; STEVE CANAVERO, Interim Superintendent		
24	of Public Instruction, in his official capacity,		
25	Defendants.		
26	!		
I	///		
27	/// ///		
27 28			
	///		

### 

## 

### 

# 

# 

#### NOTICE OF MOTION

#### TO: ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD

	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that	at the foregoing motion will be in chambers on the	day
of	, 2015.		

#### MOTION FOR EXPEDITED ARGUMENT AND DECISION

Defendants (also referred to as "Nevada") hereby move this Court for expedited argument and decision on their Motion to Dismiss this lawsuit. Nevada respectfully requests that this Court schedule a hearing on its Motion to Dismiss for November 25, 2015. Nevada has checked with Master Calendar and been advised that this date is available. The Nevada Government, hundreds of thousands of Nevada parents and schoolchildren, the public and private schools in Nevada—indeed, all Nevadans have a vital interest in a prompt judicial determination of the constitutionality of Nevada's new education savings account ("ESA") program. A proposed Order Granting Motion for Expedited Argument and Decision is attached hereto as **Exhibit A.** 

- 1. This case involves a challenge to the constitutionality of the ESA program. The ESA program was enacted by the Legislature as Senate Bill 302 and approved by Governor Sandoval on June 2, 2015. On August 27, 2015, Plaintiffs filed their complaint alleging that the ESA program violates Article 11, §§ 2 and 10 of the Nevada Constitution. Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent the State from depositing funds into education savings accounts.
- 2. Nevada filed its Motion to Dismiss on October 16, 2015. By rule, Plaintiffs' opposition is due within 10 days of service of Nevada's motion. *See* Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. R. 2.20(e). Nevada's reply brief is due not later than five days before the hearing on its motion. *See id.* 2.20(h). Nevada does not request any truncation of these time periods.
- 3. Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and other law authorize courts to "[e]xpedit[e] the disposition of [an] action." N.R.C.P. 16(a)(1); see also Las Vegas Taxpayer Accountability Comm. v. City Council of Las Vegas, 125 Nev. 165, 171 (2009) (noting that "expedited proceedings are available at the district court level"); Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Rule 2.26.

<sup>1</sup> See U.S. Census Bureau, State & County QuickFacts: Nevada, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/32000.html; Nevada Legislative Counsel Bureau, Nevada Education Data Book 16 (2015).

4. Expedited argument and decision is warranted in this case. The Legislature enacted the ESA program as part of a sweeping overhaul of education in Nevada. It is in the public interest to have the Nevada courts settle the constitutionality of the ESA program as soon as practical.

- 5. SB 302 took effect on July 1, 2015, for the limited purpose of allowing the State to "adopt[] any regulations and perform[] any other preparatory administrative tasks necessary to carry out" the ESA program. SB 302, § 17. SB 302 takes effect "for all other purposes" on January 1, 2016. *Id.* Thus, the ESA program is scheduled to take full effect in less than three months.
- 6. Full implementation of SB 302 will require the time, effort, and resources of, and coordination between, the Nevada Government and Nevada parents. Under the program, parents must complete ESA applications, the State Treasurer must review and process applications, parents and the State Treasurer must enter into agreements, and the State must fund the education savings accounts. *See* SB 302, §§ 7.1., 7.2., 7.8, 8.1. This process has already started in earnest.
- 7. Nevada parents have a crucial interest in the urgent resolution of this case. This litigation's outcome will determine the decisions of hundreds of thousands of them obligated to make the best educational choices for their children. Nevada has approximately half a million school-age residents, more than 450,000 of whom are enrolled in public schools. The state Treasurer has already had more than 3,500 students apply for ESAs. Right now, parents are making educational decisions for their children based on the reasonable expectation that ESAs will be available in early 2016.
- 8. The ESA program is open to all school-age children in Nevada. The only requirement for participation in the program is that a student must have been enrolled in a Nevada public school during the period immediately preceding the opening of an ESA for at least 100 consecutive school days. *See* SB 302, § 7.1. Families withdrawing children from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://twitter.com/NVTreasury/status/654425704684085248 (last accessed Oct. 18, 2015).

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

public school—or planning to withdraw them—in reliance on SB 302 could be irreparably injured, financially and educationally, by prolonged litigation over SB 302.

9. The need for a quick resolution of this case is broadly acknowledged, including by Governor Brian Sandoval. "It is clear that parents want the freedom to choose the best school to meet the needs of their students," he said. "The uncertainty and legal gridlock created by this lawsuit will significantly impact student success." He added that an "expedited hearing on this matter ... will allow students, parents, educators, and the state to move forward and properly direct our focus toward collectively creating a system that provides children with the resources and learning environment they need to thrive and succeed."

Accordingly, Nevada respectfully requests that this Court expedite the argument and decision on Nevada's Motion to Dismiss and schedule a hearing for November 25, 2015 and render a decision as soon thereafter as is practicable consistent with the Court's workload and availability.

Respectfully submitted,

Adam Paul Laxalt

Attorney General

By: /s/ Lawrence VanDyke
Lawrence VanDyke
Solicitor General
Joseph Tartakovsky
Deputy Solicitor General
Ketan Bhirud
Senior Deputy Attorney General
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701-4717
(775) 684-1100
LVanDyke@ag.nv.gov
JTartakovsky@ag.nv.gov
KBhirud@ag.nv.gov

||-

25

26

27

28

29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Press Release, Office of the Governor, Governor Brian Sandoval Requests Nevada Attorney General to Seek Expedited Hearing in Education Savings Accounts Case (Sept. 4, 2015), http://gov.nv.gov/News-and-Media/Press/2015/Sandoval-Requests-Nevada-Attorney-General-to-Seek-Expedited-Hearing-in-Education-Savings-Accounts-Case/ (last accessed Oct. 18, 2015).

1 Paul D. Clement\* BANCROFT PLLC 2 500 New Jersey Avenue, NW Seventh Floor 3 Washington, DC 20001 4 (202) 234-0090 pclement@bancroftpllc.com 5 \*Motion for admission pro hac vice 6 forthcoming 7 Attorneys for Defendants 8 9 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 10 Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b) and L.R. 8.05, I certify that on October 11 19, 2015, a true and correct copy of the forgoing document was electronically served via the 12 court's electronic filing system to the following attorneys associated with this case: 13 **ACLU of Nevada** 14 Amy Rose rose@aclunv.org Shawn Meerkamper meerkamper@aclunv.org 15 16 **Hutchison & Steffen** Jacob A. Reynolds jreynolds@hutchlegal.com 17 Robert Stewart RStewart@hutchlegal.com 18 **Hutchison & Steffen, LLC** Amber Anderson aanderson@hutchlegal.com 19 20 **Institute for Justice** Keith Diggs kdiggs@ij.org 21 Tim Keller tkeller@ij.org Kileen Lindgren klindgren@ij.org 22 23 /s/ Lawrence VanDyke 24 Lawrence VanDyke 25 Solicitor General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 26 100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 27 (775) 684-1100 LVanDyke@ag.nv.gov 28 29

# **EXHIBIT** A

# **EXHIBIT** A

1 2 3 4	ORDG Adam Paul Laxalt (Nev. Bar No. 12426) Attorney General Lawrence VanDyke (Nev. Bar No. 13643C) Solicitor General Joseph Tartakovsky (Nev. Bar No. 13796C) Deputy Solicitor General Ketan Bhirud (Nev. Bar No. 10515)		
5	Senior Deputy Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 100 North Carson Street		
6 7	Carson City, NV 89701-4717 (775) 684-1100		
8	LVanDyke@ag.nv.gov JTartakovsky@ag.nv.gov KBhirud@ag.nv.gov		
9 10	Paul D. Clement (D.C. Bar No. 433215)* BANCROFT PLLC 500 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Seventh Floor		
11	Washington, DC 20001 (202) 234-0090		
12 13	pclement@bancroftpllc.com  * Motion for admission pro hac vice forthcoming	5	
14	Attorneys for Defendants State of Nevada, et al.		
15	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
16 17	RUBY DUNCAN, an individual; RABBI MEL HECHT, an individual; HOWARD WATTS III, an individual; LEORA OLIVAS, an individual; ADAM BERGER, an individual,	CASE NO. A-15-723703-C DEPT. NO. XX	
18 19	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXPEDITED ARGUMENT AND DECISION	
20	STATE OF NEVADA ex rel, the Office of the State Treasurer of Nevada and the Nevada		
21 22	Department of Education; DAN SCHWARTZ, Nevada State Treasurer, in his official capacity;		
23	STEVE CANAVERO, Interim Superintendent of Public Instruction, in his official capacity,		
24	Defendants.		
25	The Court has reviewed the points and au	thorities on file regarding Defendants' Motion	
26	for Expedited Argument and Decision.		
27			
28			
29			

1	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court ORDERS that Defendant's Motion to Dismis					
2	for Lack of Jurisdiction and Failure to State a Claim shall be heard on November 25, 2015, and					
3	the Court will render a decision as soon thereafter as is practicable consistent with the Court's					
4	workload and availability.					
5	DATED this day of	_ 2015.				
6						
7		JUDGE ERIC JOHNSON				
8	Respectfully submitted,	SOUGH EME SOUNDON				
9						
10	Adam Paul Laxalt Attorney General					
11	By: /s/ Lawrence VanDyke Lawrence VanDyke					
12	Solicitor General Joseph Tartakovsky					
13	Deputy Solicitor General Ketan Bhirud					
14	Senior Deputy Attorney General					
15	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 100 North Carson Street					
16	Carson City, NV 89701-4717 (775) 684-1100					
17	LVanDyke@ag.nv.gov JTartakovsky@ag.nv.gov					
18	KBhirud@ag.nv.gov					
19	Paul D. Clement* BANCROFT PLLC					
	500 New Jersey Avenue, NW					
	20   Seventh Floor   Washington, DC 20001					
21	(202) 234-0090 pclement@bancroftpllc.com					
22	*Motion for admission <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming					
23	Attorneys for Defendants					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						