

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney General

555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone - (702) 486-3420 Fax - (702) 486-3283 Web - http://ag.state.nv.us

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE DATE: September 18, 2012

CONTACT: Jennifer López <u>ilopez@ag.nv.gov</u> / 702-486-3782

ATTORNEY GENERAL MASTO ANNOUNCES ARREST AND INITIAL APPEARANCE OF LAS VEGAS COUPLE INVOLVED IN MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD

Las Vegas, NV – Nevada Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto announced that on September 14, David Young, 37, and Minerva "Chaidez" Young, 38, both of Las Vegas, made an initial appearance in Las Vegas Justice Court for their involvement in a mortgage lending fraud case involving numerous victims operating under the name My State Processing.

Both David and Minerva Young are charged with four felony counts of mortgage lending fraud, four felony counts of theft, one felony count of multiple transactions involving fraud and deceit in the course of enterprise and occupation, and one felony count of pattern of mortgage lending fraud.

"Based upon my office's investigation there is probable cause to believe that this is another case of mortgage lending fraud," said Masto. "As always, we encourage those who believe they may be a victim to submit a written complaint."

From March 2011 to March 2012, the Youngs made false representations that they were capable of rescuing homeowners who were looking to refinance their mortgages by negotiating loan modifications. They collected up-front fees, in most cases ranging from \$2,500 to \$3,000, for proposed services never performed or refunds provided.

Both David and Minerva Young face a 20 year prison sentence. The preliminary hearing is set for January 14, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. in Las Vegas Justice Court 5. Defendants are presumed innocent until proven guilty.

Anyone with additional information regarding David or Minerva Young, or My State Processing is urged to contact the Attorney General's Office Bureau of Consumer Protection Hotline at 702-486-3132 and press "3" to receive instructions on how to submit a complaint.

The case was investigated by the Attorney General's Mortgage Fraud Unit and is being prosecuted by Deputy Attorney General, Gary Mathews.

Read the criminal complaint at http://bit.ly/Youngcomplaint.





YOUNG "CHAIDEZ", MINERVA





YOUNG, DAVID
(Photos courtesy of Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department)

###

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
Attorney General
GARY J. MATHEWS
Deputy Attorney General
Nevada Bar Number 12255
Office of the Attorney General
555 East Washington Ave., Ste. 3900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
P: (702) 486-0661
F· (702) 486-0600

gmathews@ag.nv.gov

Attorneys for State of Nevada

Aug 29 2 57 PM 12

JUSTICE COURT
LAS VEGAS JEVADA

BY DEPUTY

IN THE JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
Plaintiff,))	Case No.: 12F13841AB Dept. No.: 5
VS.	į	•
DAVID YOUNG, ID#2760196 and MINERVA YOUNG,)))	
Defendants.)))	

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, by and through her deputy, GARY J. MATHEWS, complains and charges that the above named Defendants have committed the following offenses:

DAVID YOUNG and MINERVA YOUNG, (hereinafter "YOUNGS") d/b/a My State Processing ("MSP"), have committed four (4) counts of MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD, all category "C" felonies in violation of NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS 195.020; four (4) counts of THEFT – OBTAINING MONEY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$650.00 OR MORE BUT LESS THAN \$3,500.00 BY A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION, all category "C" felonies, in violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(c), NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020; one (1) count of MULTIPLE TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING FRAUD AND DECEIT IN THE COURSE OF

ENTERPRISE OR OCCUPATION, all category "B" felonies in violation of NRS 205.377; and one (1) count of **PATTERN OF MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD**, a category "B" felony in violation of NRS 205.372.

All of the acts alleged herein have been committed on or between March 2011 and March 2012, by the above-named Defendants, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the following manner:

COUNT I

MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, the YOUNGS either by virtue of their own actions or by the actions of their agents or employees, knowingly and unlawfully made one or more false statements or misrepresentations concerning a material fact or knowingly concealed or failed to disclose a material fact, as a participant in a mortgage lending transaction, knowingly and unlawfully claimed, demanded, charged, collected or received compensation for the performance of a mortgage lending transaction, which they failed to complete or provide a full refund as agreed upon, which constitutes mortgage lending fraud, to wit:

On or about September 2011, the **YOUNGS** met with JON RICHARDSON (hereinafter "RICHARDSON") at the **YOUNGS**' office located in Clark County, Nevada. RICHARDSON signed an agreement for the **YOUNGS** to provide a loan modification service at an upfront fee of \$2,500.00. The **YOUNGS** made material representations that they would successfully negotiate a loan modification for RICHARDSON or make a full refund. The **YOUNGS** knowingly and unlawfully collected and received compensation for the performance and negotiation for a loan modification service which was never performed or a full refund provided.

All of which constitutes the crime of **MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD**, a category "C" felony in violation of NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS 195.020.

 $\parallel 111$

3

8

9

10 11

12

Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 91 91 12

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

COUNT II

THEFT

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.0832(1)(c), NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, the YOUNGS, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, did without lawful authority knowingly and willfully, either of their own or through their agents or employees, obtain the personal or intangible property of another person in the amount of \$650.00 or more but less than \$3,500.00 by virtue of a material misrepresentation with the intent to deprive that person of the property, to wit:

On or about September 2011, the YOUNGS obtained \$1,500.00 from Jon Richardson by making one or more material misrepresentations, including without limitation they would obtain a loan modification for RICHARDSON or make a full refund as agreed upon. The YOUNGS failed to perform the agreed upon services or provide a full refund upon RICHARDSON'S request.

All of which constitutes the crime of THEFT, a category "C" felony in violation NRS 205.0832(1)(c); NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020.

COUNT III

MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400 NRS 645F.405, and NRS 195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, the YOUNGS, either by virtue of their own actions or by the actions of their agents or employees, knowingly and unlawfully made one or more false statements or misrepresentations concerning a material fact or knowingly concealed or failed to disclose a material fact, as a participant in a mortgage lending transaction and knowingly and unlawfully claimed, demanded, charged, collected or received compensation for the performance of a mortgage lending transaction which they failed to complete or provide a full refund as agreed upon, which constitutes mortgage lending fraud, to wit:

On or about June 2011, the YOUNGS met with CHARLENE PETERLA and DOUGLAS GUERRIERO at their residence located in Clark County, Nevada. PETERLA and GUERRIERO signed an agreement for the YOUNGS to provide a loan modification service at an upfront fee of

\$2,500.00. The **YOUNGS** made material representations that they would successfully negotiate a loan modification for PETERLA and GUERRIERO or make a full refund. The **YOUNGS** knowingly and unlawfully collected and received compensation for the performance and negotiation of a loan modification service which was never performed or a full refund provided.

All of which constitutes the crime of **MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD**, a category "C" felony in violation of NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS 195.020.

COUNT IV

THEFT

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.0832(1)(c); NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, the **YOUNGS**, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, did without lawful authority knowingly and willfully, either of their own or through their agents or employees, obtain the personal or intangible property of another person in the amount of \$650.00 or more but less than \$3,500.00 by virtue of a material misrepresentation with the intent to deprive that person of the property, to wit:

On or about June 2011, the **YOUNGS** obtained \$2,500.00 from CHARLENE PETERLA and DOUGLAS GUERRIERO by making one or more material misrepresentations, including without limitation would obtain a loan modification for CHARLENE PETERLA and DOUGLAS GUERRIERO or make a full refund if the agreed upon services were not performed. The **YOUNGS** failed to perform the agreed upon services and failed to refund CHARLENE PETERLA and DOUGLAS GUERRIERO'S money upon their request.

All of which constitutes the crime of **THEFT**, a category "C" felony in violation NRS 205.0832(1)(c); NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020.

COUNT V

MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, the **YOUNGS**, either by virtue of their own actions or by the actions of their agents or employees, knowingly and unlawfully made one or more false statements or misrepresentations

concerning a material fact or knowingly concealed or failed to disclose a material fact, as a participant in a mortgage lending transaction and knowingly and unlawfully claimed, demanded, charged, collected or received compensation for the performance of a mortgage lending transaction, which they failed to complete or provide a full refund as agreed upon, which constitutes mortgage lending fraud, to wit:

On or about August 2011, the **YOUNGS** met with JULIE CHILDS (hereinafter "CHILDS") at the **YOUNGS**' office located in Clark County, Nevada. CHILDS signed an agreement for the **YOUNGS** to provide a loan modification service at an upfront fee of \$2,500.00. The **YOUNGS** made material representations that they would successfully negotiate a loan modification for CHILDS or make a full refund. The **YOUNGS** knowingly and unlawfully collected and received compensation for the performance and negotiation for a loan modification service which was never performed or a full refund provided.

All of which constitutes the crime of **MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD**, a category "C" felony in violation of NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS 195.020.

COUNT VI

THEFT

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.0832(1)(c); NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, the **YOUNGS**, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, did without lawful authority knowingly and willfully, either of their own or through their agents or employees, obtain the personal or intangible property of another person in the amount of \$650.00 or more but less than \$3,500.00 by virtue of a material misrepresentation with the intent to deprive that person of the property, to wit:

On or about August 2011, the **YOUNGS** obtained \$2,500.00 from CHILDS by making one or more material misrepresentations, including without limitation they would obtain a loan modification for CHILDS or make a full refund as agreed upon. The **YOUNGS** failed to perform the agreed upon services or provide a full refund at CHILDS request.

All of which constitutes the crime of **THEFT**, a category "C" felony in violation NRS 205.0832(1)(c); NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020.

3 4 5

> 6 7 8

9 10

11

12

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

COUNT VII

MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, the YOUNGS, either by virtue of their own actions or by the actions of their agents or employees, knowingly and unlawfully made one or more false statements or misrepresentations concerning a material fact or knowingly concealed or failed to disclose a material fact, as a participant in a mortgage lending transaction and knowingly and unlawfully claimed, demanded, charged, collected or received compensation for the performance of a mortgage lending transaction, which they failed to complete or provide a full refund as agreed upon, which constitutes mortgage lending fraud, to wit:

On or about January 2012, the YOUNGS met with KATHRYN CLARK (hereinafter "CLARK") at the YOUNGS' office located in Clark County, Nevada. CLARK signed an agreement for the YOUNGS to provide a loan modification service at an upfront fee of \$2,500.00. The **YOUNGS** made material representations that they would successfully negotiate a loan modification for CLARK or make a full refund. The YOUNGS knowingly and unlawfully collected and received compensation for the performance and negotiation for a loan modification service which was never performed or a full refund provided.

All of which constitutes the crime of MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD, a category "C" felony in violation of NRS 205.372; NRS 645F.400; NRS 645F.405, and NRS 195.020.

COUNT VIII

THEFT

CATEGORY "C" FELONY - NRS 205.0832(1)(c); NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, the YOUNGS, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, did without lawful authority knowingly and willfully, either of their own or through their agents or employees, obtain the personal or intangible property of another person in the amount of \$650.00 or more but less than \$3,500.00 by virtue of a material misrepresentation with the intent to deprive that person of the property, to wit:

Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On or about January 2012, the YOUNGS obtained \$2,500.00 from CHILDS by making one or more material misrepresentations, including without limitation they would obtain a loan modification for CLARK or make a full refund as agreed upon. The YOUNGS failed to perform the agreed upon services or provide a full refund at CLARK'S request.

All of which constitutes the crime of THEFT, a category "C" felony in violation NRS 205.0832(1)(c); NRS 205.0835(3), and NRS 195.020.

COUNT IX

MULTIPLE TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING FRAUD OR DECEIT

CATEGORY "B" FELONY - NRS 205.377

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, the YOUNGS, either by virtue of their own actions or by the actions of their agents or employees, knowingly and with the intent to defraud, engage in an act, practice or course of business or employed a device, scheme or artifice which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a person by means of a false representation or omission of a material fact; to wit;

As set forth in Counts II, IV, VI, and VIII, the YOUNGS have committed multiple transactions involving fraud or deceit in course of enterprise or occupation in two or more mortgage lending transactions as set forth more fully in those counts. The purposes, results and methods of commission in all four instances was the same or similar.

The YOUNGS' actions constitute the crime of MULTIPLE TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING FRAUD OR DECEIT IN COURSE OF ENTERPRISE OR OCCUPATION, a category "B" felony in violation of NRS 205.377.

COUNT X

PATTERN OF MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD

CATEGORY "B" FELONY - NRS 205.372(2)

From on or about March 2011 to March 2012, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, the YOUNGS, either by virtue of their own actions or by the actions of their conspirators, agents or employees, knowingly and unlawfully committed mortgage lending fraud in two or more mortgage lending transactions having the same or similar purposes, results,

accomplices, victims or methods of commission, or having other interrelated distinguishing characteristics; to wit:

As set forth in Counts I, III, V, and VII, the **YOUNGS** have committed mortgage lending fraud in two or more mortgage lending transactions as set forth more fully in those counts. The purposes, results and methods of commission in all four instances was the same or similar.

All of which constitutes the crime of **PATTERN OF MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD**, a category "B" felony in violation of NRS 205.372.

DATED this 29 day of August, 2012.

SUBMITTED BY

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO

Attorney General

Ву:

GARY J. MATHEWS

Deputy\Attorney General

Fraud Unit

APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST

STATE OF NEVADA)	ss:	Aug 23	2 57 11 12
OUNTY OF CLARK	·)	55 .	UUST LAS V	ICE COURT
			BY whitering language year	DEDIITY

I, Christopher Klein, being first duly sworn, deposes and states, under penalty of perjury, that I am the Affiant herein and I am an Investigator with the State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection ("BCP"). I have been assigned to the BCP for one year (1) and seven months (7). I graduated the Nevada Department of Public Safety Academy Class #60, encompassing successfully completing over 835 hours of instruction in a course entitled Nevada Post Category I Basic Course of Training. Prior to working for the BCP, I was employed by the State of Nevada, Capitol Police as a DPS Officer I for (2) years and ten (10) months. In my positions I have investigated various criminal offenses including grand larceny, burglary, mortgage fraud, forgery, and assisted in preparation and execution of arrest warrants and search warrants. I also obtained a Bachelor of Arts from Western Michigan University, majoring in Criminal Justice. These assertions are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

The BCP has jurisdiction over the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act contained in Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") 598, Mortgage Lending Fraud contained in NRS 205 and Actions Which Constitute Theft by false pretenses, the provisions for which are also contained in NRS 205.

In support of the assertions to constitute the existence of probable cause for the requested arrest warrants, the following facts constitute evidence, which tends to demonstrate the criminal offenses crimes of THEFT - OBTAINING MONEY IN EXCESS OF \$650 OR MORE, BUT LESS THAN 3,500 BY A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION, in violation of NRS 205.0835(3), MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD, in violation of NRS 205.372, MULTIPLE TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING FRAUD AND DECEIT IN THE COURSE OF ENTERPRISE OR OCCUPATION, in violation of NRS 205.377, and PATTERN OF MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD, in violation of NRS 205.372, have been committed on or about March 2011 through

March 2012 by DAVID **YOUNG** and MINERVA **YOUNG** (hereinafter "**YOUNG**") and businesses under their direct control; **MY STATE PROCESSING** (hereinafter "**MSP**").

This affidavit is made of my own personal knowledge, except where stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true and if called as a witness, I will competently testify thereto.

Your Affiant began his investigation when The Nevada Office of the Attorney General (hereinafter "OAG") received multiple complaints against MSP and YOUNG alleging that approximately seventeen (17) victims paid approximately \$2,500 for loan modification services from MSP that were never received by the victims, for a total loss of approximately \$35,000. All of the victims had been told by David YOUNG verbally, as well as also being included in the contract language, that they would receive a full refund of their payments if MSP was unable to obtain a loan modification on their behalf. When victims would ask for their promised refunds YOUNG would offer up excuses, tell them the money had been sent, or try to convince the consumers that MSP was very close to obtaining a loan modification on their behalf and the consumer just needed to be patient.

MSP continued their pattern of fraud and deceit of their customers until approximately early March 2012. When victims attempted to contact YOUNG and MSP they found that the business and personal phone line had been disconnected. The MSP office location located at 7477 W. Lake Mead Blvd, Las Vegas, NV 89128 was also vacated around that time. This affidavit has shown that David YOUNG and Minerva YOUNG had full knowledge of the scheme in place and never intended to give monies back to the vast majority of their victims.

The investigation has shown that David **YOUNG** and Minerva **YOUNG** were not licensed to perform the functions of a "Covered Service Provider". On or about April 2012 your Affiant viewed the Nevada Division or Mortgage Lending website licensee search for David and Minerva **YOUNG**. It showed their status from March 2011 through July 2011 **MSP** was "Approved-Inactive". From July 2011 through the present, their status is listed as "Terminated".

On or about April 2012 your Affiant searched the Nevada Secretary of State's online

records for information regarding **MSP**. The status of the company was shown as "revoked". On or about May 2012 your Affiant obtained a certified copy of the "Articles of Incorporation" from the Nevada Secretary of State website. It showed Minerva **YOUNG** as the only officer listed on the document. On or about June 2012 your Affiant received a subpoena response from Bank of America for **MSP** banking records. The signature cards on the subpoena response listed Minerva **YOUNG** as the sole signer on all of the **MSP** business accounts.

Your Affiant noticed that the driver's license number that was inputted on the signature card by the Bank of America employee matched the known number of Minerva **YOUNG**. It also appears that the distinct signature on the signature card matches the signature on Minerva **YOUNG**'S driver's license.

VICTIMS - Douglas Guerriero and Charlene Peterla

On or about April 2012 the OAG received a complaint from Charlene Peterla and Douglas GUERRIERO (hereinafter "GUERRIERO" is referring to statements made by both parties) regarding the business practices of **MSP** and **YOUNG**. On or about May 4th 2012 your Affiant met with GUERRIERO for an interview and after being advised of my identity and nature of the interview, he provided the following voluntary information.

GUERRIERO stated that on or about June 2011, David YOUNG met with them at the GUERRIERO residence located at 9330 Portland Point Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89148 to discuss the services of MSP. While at the residence YOUNG showed examples of people he had helped in the past that were in a situation similar to GUERRIERO. GUERRIERO was not behind on his mortgage, but was looking to get a loan modification to lower his monthly payment.

GUERRIERO signed a contract with **MSP** for loan modification services on or about June 2011 and paid **MSP** \$2,500. Included in the contract that GUERRIERO signed was an amended agreement that states, "I David **YOUNG** and My State Processing agree to refund any fees that have been collected within 30 days of receiving the denial or proposed modification." GUERRIERO also stated that **YOUNG** verbally told him that he could opt out at any time for a full refund if the process took too long.

GUERRIERO stated that after a period of approximately nine (9) months and after various e-mails and phone calls, he attempted to contact **YOUNG** on or about March 2012. **YOUNG'S** cell phone and business phone for **MSP** had been disconnected and the offices of **MSP** vacated. GUERRIERO was left without a loan modification and was not given his promised refund by **YOUNG**.

On or about June 28th 2012, your Affiant received a subpoena response from Bank of America for **MSP** business banking records. The subpoena response indicated that the GUERRIERO check was deposited into an account ending in 9267 controlled solely by Minerva **YOUNG**. The bank statements included in the response for June 2011 also indicate that **YOUNG** was spending victim money as soon as it was coming in. The statements show over one hundred (100) personal expenditures totaling more than \$14,000.

VICTIM- JON RICHARDSON

On or about April 30th 2012, Senior Investigator Shelley Neiman and your Affiant met with RICHARDSON for an interview regarding a complaint he submitted to the OAG against **MSP**. After being advised of our identities and the nature of the interview, he provided the following voluntary information.

RICHARDSON stated that on or about September 2011 he signed a contract with MSP, which was sent via e-mail. Included in the MSP contract is language that states "MSP will return all advanced fees back to the client" if no services were rendered by MSP. YOUNG and RICHARDSON both agreed that MSP would be paid \$500 a month for six (6) months total from RICHARDSON until the total sum of \$3,000 was paid in full. During this meeting YOUNG instructed RICHARDSON to stop paying his mortgage. RICHARDSON stated that YOUNG told him since he was not currently behind on his mortgage; the bank would be more willing to modify his mortgage if payments were past due. On or about September 2011, RICHARDSON stopped paying his mortgage based off of YOUNG'S instruction. After signing the contract RICHARDSON did most of his communication with YOUNG via e-mail.

RICHARDSON would receive periodic updates from **YOUNG** for two months until approximately December 2011, when RICHARDSON received correspondence from his lender indicating he was denied a loan modification.

RICHARDSON stated that in December 2011 he was instructed to remit another \$500 payment to **MSP**. However, he had already hired a broker to start the process of short selling his home. At that point RICHARDSON was facing foreclosure on his home based solely on the fact that **YOUNG** advised him to stop paying his mortgage in order to heighten the chances of obtaining a loan modification.

Due to the fact that he was already denied a loan modification, he did not remit the remaining payments and inquired about the promised refund from YOUNG. YOUNG stated in e-mails that he would repay the \$1,500 in \$500 installments. RICHARDSON stated that the first refund was supposed to come on or about December 2011. RICHARDSON then stated that YOUNG provided various excuses and dates that the refunds would come, but ultimately no refunds were given. RICHARDSON'S experience with MSP has negatively impacted his family's life. It forced them to short sale their home in order to avoid foreclosure and ruin their credit, forced them to move residences, and now his bank is suing him civilly for the remaining balance of the loan after the short sale.

On about May 2nd 2012, your Affiant issued a subpoena to Bank of Nevada regarding modification attempts by **MSP** on behalf of RICHARDSON. The subpoena response showed that approximately six pages (6) were sent over to Bank of Nevada from **MSP**. Their call log also showed that the information sent from **MSP** was deficient and not updated correctly. The subpoena response ultimately showed that RICHARDSON was denied for a loan modification

On or about June 28th 2012, your Affiant received a subpoena response from Bank of America for **MSP** business banking records. The subpoena response indicated that the RICHARDSON checks were deposited into an account ending in 9267 controlled solely by Minerva **YOUNG**. The bank statements included in the response show that there were approximately 330 debits during the time frame the RICHARDSON checks were deposited

legitimate business expense.

VICTIM- JULIE CHILDS

On or about April 2012, the OAG received a complaint regarding **MSP** from CHILDS. On or about May 4th 2012 your Affiant met with CHILDS for an interview and after being advised of my identity and the nature of the interview, she provided the following voluntary information.

(September 2011 - November 2011) totaling approximately \$26,000 that appear have no

CHILDS stated she was referred to **MSP** by a coworker and that she was looking to get a loan modification on her home, but was not behind on her mortgage. CHILDS originally spoke with David **YOUNG** over the phone and was described some of the services **MSP** could provide her. On or about August 2011 CHILDS and her husband Stewart Childs met with David **YOUNG** at the **MSP** offices on 7477 W. Lake Mead Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89128.

CHILDS stated that while at the offices of **MSP**, **YOUNG** verbally guaranteed her a full refund if **MSP** was unable to obtain a full loan modification. That promise was also written into an amended part of the contract with CHILDS, which states,

"In the event Julie & Stewart Childs is declined for the initial proposed modifications and or all other modification attempts from their lender Cenlar for their property located at 2035 E. Warm Springs Rd #1042, Las Vegas, NV 89119, I David YOUNG and My State Processing agree to refund any fees that have been collected."

After hearing **YOUNG**'S sales pitch, seeing the amended agreement, and being guaranteed a refund, CHILDS signed a contract with **MSP** for loan modification services and paid \$2500 to **MSP**.

According to CHILDS, on or about February 2012 **YOUNG** said that if no modification was completed by April 2012, CHILDS would receive a full refund. CHILDS stated that on or about March 8th 2012 she tried to call **YOUNG**, but the line had been disconnected. She stated that other coworkers who hired **MSP** had similar experiences around that time frame when they tried to contact **YOUNG** or **MSP**. Due to the fact that **MSP** and **YOUNG** fled their

offices and deceived consumers, CHILDS was left with no modification services and no promised refund from **MSP**.

On or about June 2012 your Affiant received a subpoena response from CHILDS mortgage servicer, Bayview Loan Servicing. The response showed that in over ten (10) months and after paying an upfront fee of \$2,500, **MSP** had made several phone calls on behalf of CHILDS. There were no other loan modification attempts or documentation received by Bayview Loan Servicing from **MSP** for any loan modification efforts.

On or about June 28th 2012, your Affiant received a subpoena response from Bank of America for **MSP** business banking records. The subpoena response indicated that the CHILD'S check was deposited into an account ending in 9267 controlled solely by Minerva **YOUNG**. The bank statements included in the response for August 2011 also indicate that **YOUNG** was spending victim money as soon as it was coming in. The statements show over one hundred and thirty (130) personal expenditures totaling more than \$9,600.

KATHRYN CLARK

On or about March 2012, the OAG received a complaint regarding **MSP** from CLARK. On or about May 4th 2012 your Affiant met with CLARK for an interview and after being advised of my identity and the nature of the interview, she provided the following voluntary information.

CLARK stated that on or about November 2011 she was contacted by MSP employee Carla DEVINE (hereinafter "DEVINE"). DEVINE stated she worked for MSP and wanted to see if CLARK was interested in loan modification services. CLARK put off the idea of a loan modification, but her husband was going to retire soon and subsequent calls from DEVINE led her to go to the offices of MSP and meet with David YOUNG on or about January 2012. CLARK stated that DEVINE told her that CLARK "was in good hands" with YOUNG and that he was a "good Christian." No contract was signed or formalized at this initial meeting, but DEVINE gave CLARK a MSP checklist to start the "pre-approval process" for MSP.

On or about January 29th CLARK received a call from **YOUNG** after that meeting and offered three choices for CLARK to choose from. According to CLARK, **YOUNG** gave her

specific details on the types of percentage rates and terms of loan modifications that **MSP** could obtain for CLARK. On or about that same day CLARK went to the offices of **MSP** and met with **YOUNG** to sign a contract and paid the sum of \$2,000 for services. **YOUNG** gave verbal assurances of a 100% money back guarantee as well as specific language in the contract that states,

"In the event that Robert & Kathryn Clark is declined for the initial proposed modification and/or unacceptable terms from their lender GreenTree for the property located at 2520 Mourning Warbler Ave, North Las Vegas, NV 89084, David YOUNG and My State Processing agree to refund any fees that have been collected."

CLARK stated that **YOUNG** gave her one update on their loan modification in February 2012. CLARK then stated that when she tried to contact **MSP** and **YOUNG** again in early March 2012, the phone line had been disconnected and the office of **MSP** was vacant. CLARK was left with no loan modification services and no promised refund of the \$2000 payment.

On or about June 2012 your Affiant received a subpoena response from CLARK'S mortgage servicer, GreenTree. The response showed that after paying an upfront fee of \$2,000, **MSP** had made only sent two (2) forms on behalf of CLARK for loan modification attempts. There was no other loan modification attempts or documentation received by GreenTree from **MSP**.

On or about June 28th 2012, your Affiant received a subpoena response from Bank of America for **MSP** business banking records. The subpoena response indicated that the CLARK'S check was deposited into an account ending in 1486 controlled solely by Minerva **YOUNG**. The bank statements included in the response for January 2012 also indicate that **YOUNG** was spending victim money as soon as it was coming in. The statements show over one hundred and seventy (70) personal expenditures totaling more than \$4,000.

CONCLUSION

23

24

25

26

27

28

Based upon your Affiant's investigation it is believed that there is probable cause to believe that MSP and the YOUNGS committed the offenses of MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD, THEFT, MULTIPLE TRANSACTIONS USING FRAUD OR DECIET IN THE COURSE OF ENTERPRISE OR OCCUPATION, and PATTERN AND PRACTICE OF MORTGAGE LENDING FRAUD.

The seventeen (17) complaints from MSP victims currently total over \$35,000. In the course of his investigation your Affiant has discovered that both David and Minerva YOUNG were involved in a similar scam using a different business name prior to opening MSP. An investigation into that company is still ongoing by the OAG. The YOUNGS appear to have had full knowledge of their scam and preyed on vulnerable consumers looking to achieve monetary flexibility and better quality of life. David YOUNG portrayed himself and MSP as a knowledgeable licensed Covered Service Provider and guaranteed his results. David and Minerva YOUNG made numerous material misrepresentations to these consumers who were left with no services from MSP while David and Minerva YOUNG lived off their hard earned money and made lavish purchases off of their backs.

Wherefore, your Affiant requests that a warrant for the arrest of David YOUNG and MINERVA YOUNG.

Christopher Klein, Investigator

State of Nevada

cho

Office of the Attorney General Bureau of Consumer Protection

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN TO before me

by Christanh

this 29 day of Hugust

NOTARY PUBLIC, in and for said

County and State

